Decentralized Runtime Verification

Building Blocks: Components, Observations, Specification and Monitors [1, 4]



A decentralized system contains multiple components. Components behavior is abstracted as observations.

The observation <alarm, true> indicates that the alarm is triggered.

G(!alarm)

rect behavior of the system. It is used to synthesize and integrate monitors into the system.

Specifications can be defined using automata, Linear-time Temporal Logic (LTL), or other formalisms.



Monitors are responsible for checking whether the current execution of the system complies with the specification. One or more monitors are attached to components. Monitors receive observations, do some processing and communicate with other monitors

Monitoring API

An API for Common Monitoring Activities



Parsing and managing specifications and traces. Datastructures for storing observations and monitor state.

Creating, accessing and instrumenting measures into the execution.





Deploying and setting up components, monitors, and associating monitors to specifications.

Specifying high level API for monitoring and communication between monitors.



Simple and Extensible Formats

Specifications are passed to your algorithm. Your algorithm is responsible of parsing and setting up the

(MonitoringAlgorithm alg) { Measure(new Measure("msg_num","Msgs",0L,Measures.addLong) ter(Integer to, Message m) : Commons sendMessage(to, m) update("msg_num" , lL); b) {
 VerdictTimed v = ehe scanVerdict();
 if(v.isFinal())
 throw new ReportVerdict(v.getVerdict(), t);
 ehe dropResolved();

1. Design Decentralized RV Algorithms

Design new algorithms Variants of existing algorithms $\label{lem:refinements} \textbf{Refinements of existing algorithms}$

Measures

Create measures by instrumentation (AspectJ) Use existing API for measures

Measures target all algorithms using the API

2. Execute

Simulation

Monitor a trace using an algorithm

Visualization

Basic topology and communication visualization

Experiment

avg(msg_num) avg(msg_data) count(* 2.04226336011177 267.8458714635 572600 2.16402472527473 668.129401098901 364000

3 33806822465134 3954 09705050886 530600

32.7222301781348 482.572275585051 572600

31.8533351648352 932.708425824176 364000

An experiment is a reproducible set of parameters, specifications, and algorithms

THEMIS A Tool for Decentralized Monitoring

Antoine El-Hokayem Yliès Falcone

first.last @ univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LIG, Inria, CNRS, F-38000 Grenoble, France

3. Analyze | Flexible

Measures are stored in a database Use any third party tools for analysis

Instrumented at runtime using AspectJ Existing API and classes to extend

Reusable

Measures apply to different algorithms Experiments can re-use new measures

THEMIS

Designing, Analyzing, and Comparing Decentralized RV Algorithms

THEMIS is a tool to facilitate the design, development, and analysis of decentralized monitoring algorithms. It is developed using Java and AspectJ.

It consists of a library and command-line tools. THEMIS provides an API, data structures and measures for decentralized

These building blocks can be reused or extended to modify existing algorithms, design new more intricate algorithms, and elaborate new approaches to assess existing

The theoretical aspects can be found in [2].

4 MigrationRR 3 5 MigrationRR 4 # Components

Algorithm - Choreography - Migration - MigrationRR - Orchestration

Use Cases

Designing New Algorithms

THEMIS makes it easy to prototype and incrementally design new algorithms. Common tasks such as parsing automata and LTL, setting up monitors, and communication are

Optimizing Existing Algorithms

Using the experiment tool and the existing measures, designing new variants of algorithms can be easily re-run in a reproducible environment. New measures can be added to enrich the comparison, which will also apply to the older versions.

Comparing Decentralized RV Algorithms

The monitoring API can be used to compare different algorithms [3]. This is done by analyzing the usage of the same datastructures or API calls (such as communication).

References

- [1] Christian Colombo and Ylies Falcone. 2016. Organising LTL monitors over distributed systems with a global clock. Formal Methods in System Design 49, 1-2 (2016), 109–158.
- [2] Antoine El-Hokayem and Yliès Falcone. 2017. Monitoring Decentralized Specifications. In 26th International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis, ISSTA 2017
- [3] Andreas Bauer and Yliès Falcone. 2016. Decentralised LTL monitoring. Formal Methods in System Design 48, 1-2 (2016), 46–93
- [4] Martin Leucker and Christian Schallhart. 2009. A brief account of runtime verification. J. Log. Algebr. Program.78, 5 (2009), 293–303.



